

**Policy Subcommittee
Court Alcohol and Drug Program Advisory Committee
Judicial Conference of Indiana**

DRAFT 2/10/03

January 31, 2003
Minutes

The CADPAC's Policy Subcommittee of the Judicial Conference of Indiana met at the Indiana Judicial Center on Friday, January 31, 2003 from 10:05 a.m. to 2:10 p.m.

1. Members present. Denise Benjamin, Linda Brady, Ralph Cousins, June Kramer, Terry Moore, Steve Pifer, and Bill Sleva, Chair.
2. Staff present. Cheri Harris, Bill Carey, and Lori Amsbury provided the committee with staff assistance.
3. Staff Announcements. Staff announced a new policy that in order to provide better access to information about CADPAC subcommittee activities, subcommittees would generate summary minutes to be posted on the website, and that future meeting dates of subcommittees would also be listed on the website.
4. Proposed Drug Court Rules. Cheri Harris described the process the Proposed Drug Court Rules have been through, and discussed the next steps expected to be taken towards adoption of the rules. The chair commented favorably upon the clarity of the Proposed Drug Court Rules. The subcommittee discussed the proposed rules, generating the following recommendations to the Certification Subcommittee, to be shared also with the Drug Court Subcommittee.
 - a. Need to change or at least research the use of the term "case management" and "case manager" as used in Section 3 (Definitions) and in Section 16 (Drug Court Staff Requirements) because they are defined in IC 25-23.6-4-3.1 in a manner that is incompatible with how the terms are used in the Drug Court Rules. Policy Subcommittee suggests using the term "case supervisor" instead.
 - b. The focus of these rules is appropriate to adult drug court but not to juvenile drug courts. Policy Subcommittee recommends the Drug Court Subcommittee work with appropriate juvenile judges to develop language more specifically tailored to the specific cases and concerns that come before juvenile judges.
 - c. On page 13, the Policy Subcommittee recommends changing the commentary to Section 15(h)(1) to encourage the use of providers who assign only "individuals who have a competency based substance

abuse credential (such as a certificate from ICAADA, ICRC, and NAADAC).”

- d. In Section 16 (b)(3) on page 13, and Section 16(c)(3) on page 14, the references to a current certificate from ICAADA should be to a current certificate from ICAADA, ICRC or NAADAC.

MOTION: Steve Pifer moved that the four comments described above be included in the Policy Subcommittee’s recommendation to the Certification Subcommittee on Proposed Drug Court Rules. June Kramer seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously with a vote of 6 – 0.

5. CSAMS. The Subcommittee conducted an impassioned discussion of the relative merits and drawbacks of establishing any kind of CSAMS credential.

MOTION: Terry Moore moved to oppose having a CSAMS Credential. Linda Brady seconded the motion. After lengthy discussion the motion failed with a vote of 2 in favor and 5 opposed. Following this vote the subcommittee decided to look at specific concerns and suggestions.

- a. Education requirements for new hires: In Section 30(c)(1), in addition to persons with a BA the education requirements for new hires should permit a person with an Associates Degree in Addictions and an ICAADA certification to obtain a CSAMS.
- b. Supervised practical training. In Section 30(c)(3)(C), the reference to a current CADAC I or CADAC II certificate should be expanded to include “or the equivalent credential from NAADAC or ICRC.”
- c. Training. In Section 30(c)(4)(B)(ii), where a credential can be substituted for training, the credentials listed should also include “or the equivalent credential from NAADAC or ICRC.”
- d. Existing staff who change positions. Regarding subsection (h), the Policy Subcommittee recommends that the one year limit should apply only to existing staff who maintain assessment staff status and that references to obtaining the CSAMS should be eliminated from this subsection, and may need to be addressed separately.
- e. To try to make the CSAMS provisions easier to read, the subcommittee suggests putting the provisions for new hires into a separate section from the provisions for existing staff members.

MOTION: Steve Pifer made a motion to include the items listed above in the Policy Subcommittee’s recommendation to the

Certification Subcommittee. Denise Benjamin seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously with a vote of 7 – 0.

6. Legislation. Cheri Harris distributed copies of Senate Bills 247 (Alcohol and drug services and drug courts), 99 (Alcohol abuse and bail), 476 (Community corrections and the mentally ill), and an amendment expected to be proposed to 247 (addresses jurisdiction for city and town courts). After brief discussion on these bills Subcommittee members were asked to e-mail comments to staff.
7. Old Business. Staff distributed the preliminary results of the survey regarding transfer policies, which will be discussed at the next meeting.
8. Next meeting. The next meeting of the Policy Subcommittee is scheduled for April 25, 2003 at 12:30 p.m. at the Judicial Center. Subsequent meetings are scheduled for August 15, 2003, at 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m; and October 31, 2003, at 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Cheri A. Harris
Staff Attorney